Patella radiograph image texture: The correlation with lumbar spine bone mineral density values
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ABSTRACT
Osteoporosis is a common metabolic disease that is frequently overlooked. This disease primarily affects adult women and causes bone thinness and fragility, which leads to fractures. DXA (Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry) is used to diagnose osteoporosis by measuring bone mineral density. These devices are expensive and not widely available for treatment. This study aimed to find a correlation between the texture value of an image of the patellar bone and the density of the lumbar spine, which can then be used to detect osteoporosis. This study’s sample size was 19 subjects, and their bone mineral density (BMD) was measured using DXA. An X-ray was then taken to obtain an image of the genu bone. The stages of the research are as follows: 1) preparing the X-ray image of the bone; 2) determining the image texture value method of gray level co-occurrence matrix 3) investigating the relationship between texture values and BMD in the lumbar spine. The correlation test results revealed a statistically significant correlation between the texture value and the BMD of the lumbar spine for the correlation and variance characteristics (P less than 0.05). As a result, the value of the texture of the image of the patella bone can be used to detect osteoporosis.

INTRODUCTION
Osteoporosis is defined as a bone disease with low bone mass and microarchitectural damage to bone tissue (Liu et al., 2019), which leads to bone fragility and an increased risk of fracture (consensus), changes in bone architecture, and clinical consequences in the form of being prone to fracture (fractures) with minor or no trauma (Center, 2017). Fractures most commonly occur in bones with numerous trabeculae, such as the wrist (wrist), spine (spine), and groin (femur) (Adams, 2013). Disability as a result of hip, spine, or wrist fractures can reduce a patient’s quality of life while also increasing the financial burden on the healthcare system (Mithal et al., 2014). Furthermore, the risk of death following osteoporosis-related fractures rises (Cauly, 2013). Osteoporosis develops as bone density declines with age (Sozen et al., 2017). When you’re young, your bones grow and heal on their own. Bones stop growing at 16-18 years old, but bone mass continues to increase until the late 20s. This process, however, will be accompanied by the passage of time. As people age, their density decreases. Bones become weaker, porous, and more prone to fracture (Zioupos et al., 2020).

Measuring bone mineral density (BMD) in high-risk groups is an important step toward lowering the prevalence of osteoporosis. The world health organization (WHO) uses Dual Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry (DEXA) as the gold standard for BMD examination (Diamond & Sheu, 2016). Despite the error correction on

Article Info

Article history:
Received: January 31, 2022
Accepted: April 05, 2022
Published: April 29, 2022

Keywords:
BMD; Patella; Radiography; Texture image.

© 2022 Physics Education Department, UIN Raden Intan Lampung, Indonesia.
DEXA, it is the standard technique for measuring bone density (Link & Kazakia, 2020) (Martineau et al., 2021). BMD and T-score values that reflect bone density based on the mineral content in bone are the results of measuring bone mass density using the DEXA technique (Ralston & Fraser, 2015). DEXA cannot distinguish between the cortex and the trabecular (D’Elia et al., 2009). As a result, in addition to bone mass density, we require a method that can reflect bone microarchitecture as an indicator of bone quality (Yong & Logan, 2021).

Radiographically, the texture feature is one of the most important factors in determining bone architecture, including the patella bone in the knee. The gray level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) method is one for extracting texture information from an image (Pratiwi et al., 2015; Gebejes et al., 2013). As a result, it is critical to understand the relationship between texture characteristics and BMD values in the lumbar spine.

Many studies on bone image texture analysis have been conducted. Texture analysis on jawbone images to detect osteoporosis (Sela, 2021), hand bones (Insania et al., 2018), and hip bone texture analysis (Hirvasniemi et al., 2019). However, no one has ever used an image of the patella bone to analyze its texture. As a result, the image of the patella bone will be used as the object of analysis in this study.

METHODS

A radiograph of the patellar bone and the results of the lumbar spine density examination were used in this study. The radiograph image's texture is then examined using the gray level co-occurrence matrix method. The following are the research steps.

The first step is to collect data from the study sample on osteoporosis and normal status. These measurements were obtained using a densitometry (DXA) tool. An x-ray of the knee bone was then taken from the sample to obtain an image of the knee/genu.

The second step is to use Matlab to determine the texture value of the patella using the gray level co-occurrence matrix method. The third step is to examine the relationship between the texture value of the patella image and the lumbar spine BMD value (Fig.1). BMD values are obtained from DXA measurements.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From 19 people measured by Densitometry (DXA), a tool for detecting osteoporosis standard WHO, the researchers obtained the following data: example of the examination of the lumbar spine BMD (fig.2).
BMD examination results data are displayed in Table 1.

**Table 1. Lumbar Spine BMD Values**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>BMD L1</th>
<th>BMD L2</th>
<th>BMD L3</th>
<th>BMD L4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>0.799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>0.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.511</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>0.673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>0.647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>0.955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>1.022</td>
<td>1.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.207</td>
<td>1.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.567</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.567</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>0.735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>1.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.796</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>0.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>1.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>0.876</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 displays the sample image results of bone genu radiography with the specifications of the SHIMADZU MODEL XUD 150L-30F. 150 kv 500mA.

The gray level co-occurrence matrix method extracted texture features from radiographic images of the genu bone and patellar trabeculae. Table 2 displays the results of the texture analysis of the patellar trabeculae.

**Table 2. Sample Texture Values of the Platella bone Using the Gray Level Co-Occurance Matrix Method**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Texture Features</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angular Moment</td>
<td>0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Moment</td>
<td>0.001 etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast</td>
<td>100.22 67.581 104.41 150.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88.039 etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>0.951 0.723 0.722 0.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.767 etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>976.16 88.213 135.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.63 144.95 etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse Moment</td>
<td>0.152 0.149 0.124 0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.134 etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entropy</td>
<td>11,491 10,267 10,697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11,387 10.697 etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The texture value of the patellar bone image was then correlated with the BMD value of the lumbar spine in sections L1, L2, L3, and L4. Table 3 displays the correlation test results.

Table 3 shows that the texture value at the angular second moment does not correlate with BMD L1, L2, L3, and L4 (P > 0.05). The contrast value is also unrelated to BMD L1, L2, L3, and L4 (P > 0.05). The Correlation value was correlated with the BMD L1, L2, L3, and L4 values (P < 0.05). The variance value was also correlated with the BMD L1, L2, L3, and L4 values (P < 0.05). The inverse different moment value does not correlate with BMD L1, BMD L2, BMD L3, or BMD L4 values (P > 0.05), and entropy does not correlate with BMD L1, BMD L2, BMD L3, or BMD L4 values (P > 0.05).
Table 3. The Results of the Pearson Correlation Test Between Texture Values and BMD of the Lumbar Spine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Texture Value</th>
<th>BMD L1</th>
<th>BMD L2</th>
<th>BMD L3</th>
<th>BMD L4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angular Second Moment</td>
<td>$r = -0.421$</td>
<td>$r = -0.346$</td>
<td>$r = -0.198$</td>
<td>$r = -0.393$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.072$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.147$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.416$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.096$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast</td>
<td>$r = 0.091$</td>
<td>$r = 0.051$</td>
<td>$r = 0.025$</td>
<td>$r = 0.143$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.712$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.836$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.920$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.560$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>$r = 0.478$</td>
<td>$r = 0.495$</td>
<td>$r = 0.500$</td>
<td>$r = 0.550$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.039$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.031$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.029$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.015$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>$r = 0.604$</td>
<td>$r = 0.586$</td>
<td>$r = 0.552$</td>
<td>$r = 0.635$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.006$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.008$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.014$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.003$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse Different Moment</td>
<td>$r = 0.287$</td>
<td>$r = 0.321$</td>
<td>$r = 0.341$</td>
<td>$r = 0.255$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.234$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.181$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.153$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.291$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entropy</td>
<td>$r = 0.298$</td>
<td>$r = 0.293$</td>
<td>$r = 0.286$</td>
<td>$r = 0.380$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.215$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.224$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.236$</td>
<td>$\text{sig} = 0.109$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The correlation value was moderately correlated with the lumbar spine BMD value, and the variance value was strongly correlated with the lumbar spine BMD value.

The number of trabeculae in postmenopausal osteoporosis patients will decrease due to a decrease in estrogen, which regulates the formation of collagen, non-collagenous protein matrix, and mineralization (Zhibin et al., 2015). Reducing estrogen by up to 75% has been shown to stimulate pro-inflammatory cytokines in synovial fluid and internal condyles (Garza et al., 2019). Because the trabeculae have a high metabolism, this process can occur up to 5-8 times more frequently than in the cortical portion (White, 2014). Changes on the surface of the trabeculae that result in trabecular thinning, plate-type trabecular perforation, reduced trabecular branching, and marrow area expansion can lead to osteoporosis if they continue (Yang et al., 2003). This condition alters the X-ray absorption conditions in the bone, resulting in variations in the grayscale contrast recorded in the image.

Feature extraction is a critical component of recognizing the osteoporosis system. The GLCM method can be used to determine whether or not images have an osteoporosis (Deoker & Pat, 2017). A combination of GLCM and RLM can be used to detect bone loss (Yousfi et al., 2019). The GLCM, SVM, and dental panoramic are also useful for detecting normal bone and osteoporosis (Hwang et al., 2017, Valentinitsch et al., 2019).

Texture analysis of the jawbone for osteoporosis detection using GLCM was successfully applied to Korean women (Kavitha et al., 2012). Also, texture analysis with GLCM is good for measuring bone quality (Shirvaikar et al., 2016). Furthermore, texture analysis on head CT images was used to distinguish normal bone density (Kawashima et al., 2019). Lastly, texture analysis with GLCM combined with artificial neural network methods on hand bones is also quite good for detecting osteoporosis (Su et al., 2020).

The image GLCM feature extraction shows indications to separate between normal and osteoporosis classes, best with feature extraction for distance = 1 and the observed angle orientations of $0^\circ$, $45^\circ$, $90^\circ$, and $135^\circ$ (Azhari et al., 2014).

According to the findings of this study, the texture values obtained by the GLCM method can be used specifically to detect osteoporosis.
CONCLUSION
Texture correlation values were moderately strong and statistically significant (p<0.05) correlated with BMD lumbar spine. Furthermore, the texture variance value was statistically significant (p<0.05) and strongly correlated with the BMD value.
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