

Contents lists available at DJM DESIMAL: JURNAL MATEMATIKA p-ISSN: 2613-9073 (print), e-ISSN: 2613-9081 (online), DOI 10.24042/djm http://ejournal.radenintan.ac.id/index.php/desimal/index



Comparison of Somatic, Auditory, Visual, and Intellectual Learning Models and Snowballing Against Numerical Ability of Students

M. Zain Irwanto^{1,*}, Prabu Rohman¹, Mahfuzh², Ahmad Rozikin², Thanaporn Sriyakul³

¹ Universitas Muslim Indonesia Makassar, Indonesia

² Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Intan Lampung, Indonesia

³ Mahanakorn University of Technology, Thailand

ARTICLE INFO

Article History

 Received
 : 10-09-2019

 Revised
 : 20-11-2019

 Accepted
 : 08-01-2020

 Published
 : 26-01-2020

Keywords: SAVI; Snowballing; Numerical Ability.

*Correspondence: E-mail: <u>m.zainirwanto@umi.ac.id</u>

Doi: 10.24042/djm.v3i1.5690

ABSTRACT

Numerical ability in learning mathematics is an important thing for students to facilitate teaching and learning process. SAVI and Snowballing are learning models that can facilitate the development of numerical abilities of students. The purpose of this study is to determine the effect of SAVI and Snowballing learning models on the numerical ability of students. This research is a type of research Quasy Experimental Design. The sampling technique used was class random technique with row and series material. The instrument used to collect data was a numerical ability test in the form of a description item. The data analysis technique of this study used the T-Test analysis technique. The results of this study are that the two models do not have the same numerical ability of students, meaning that there are differences in the impact given by the SAVI learning model and the Snowballing learning model on numerical ability. The numerical ability of students using the SAVI learning model is better than using the Snowballing learning model.

http://ejournal.radenintan.ac.id/index.php/desimal/index

INTRODUCTION

Given the importance of mathematics in science and technology, mathematics must be understood and mastered by students (Indrawati 2015). Factors that influence the success of students in mastering mathematics include numerical ability (Zikriah 2018). Some research results suggest that children who have high numerical ability will be able to develop new thinking by integrating a variety of basic knowledge as supporters to think more broadly, because in these students there is perseverance, tenacity, hardness of heart, interest, curiosity and creativity (Kurniyanthi , Suarni, and Gunamantha 2019). Therefore numerical ability is very needed in mathematics (A. Hamid, S. Afriza 2016).

Learning models that can be used to improve students' numerical abilities such as the SAVI learning model and the **SNOWBALLING Learning Model (Tanjung** and Nababan 2018). The SAVI learning model trains participants to learn by listening, speaking, and thinking (Sulakasana, Margunaasa, and Authority 2018). Thus, between physical activity and intelligence go hand in hand. Based on research on students' numerical abilities using the SCRAMBEL. TSTS. COOPERATIVE TIPESTAD, OSBORN, PAIR CHECK models (Melani, Candiasa, and Hartawan 2019; Sunilawati, Dantes, and Candiasa 2013; Lanya and Aini 2019; Irawaan 2014). The SNOWBALLING learning model is a learning model where students are trained to be responsible for discussions in stages to get the right answer, so students can exchange opinions so as to produce a maximum result and be approved (Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., Abdurrahman, A., & Syazali, M. 2019), so that this learning model can improve the numerical ability of students (Kuncoro, Purnami, and Key 2018). Based on previous research that has been done about numerical abilities using learning models SAVI, TSTS, SCRAMBL, OSBORN (Setyani, Nizardin, and Utami 2019; Melani, Candiasa, and Hartawan 2019; Sunilawati, Dantes, and Candiasa 2013; Lanya and Aini 2019). Previous studies also included SNOWBALLING learning models for learning motivation, learning outcomes. critical thinking skills (Srisusilaningtyas 2018: Putranto, Susatyo, and Siadi 2013; Maasawet 2010). Also research using the SAVI learning model to measure learning outcomes, mathematical connections, student achievement, critical thinking skills, mathematical representation skills (Yulianti, Haris, and Chandra 2018; Nur Agustina, Yurniwati, and MS 2019; Setyani, Nizardin, and and Utami 2019; Dewi, Murda, and Pudjawan 2019;

Sulakasana, Margunaasa, and Authority 2018).

None of the previous studies discussed the two methods of learning, so this study, researchers were interested in the two learning models by focusing on the learning model and SAVI the SNOWBALLING learning model on students' numerical abilities. So this study aims to see which learning model is more effective to be used in improving numerical abilities of students.

METHOD

This research is included in quantitative research with the type of research used is quasy experimental design (Putri and Silalahi 2018). The population to be used in this study is 60 students consisting of 2 classes with 30 students each in each class selected by cluster random sampling technique (Anwar, Munzil, and Arif Hidayat 2017). The two classes were given different treatments, namely the class that was applied to the SAVI learning model and the applied class that was to the SNOWBALLING learning model.



Figure 1. SAVI model research design

In Figure 1 we can see the steps of the SAVI learning model. There are 4 stages in the application of the SAVI learning model, which starts from the preparation stage by the teacher and students, then the delivery of new learning material that involves the five senses and is suitable for all learning styles, then the training conducted by the teacher to help students understand and absorb new knowledge and skills in various ways and lastly is the appearance of the students (Novitasari 2017).



Figure 2. SNOWBALLING model research design

In Figure 2, we can see the steps of the SNOWBALLING learning model, which starts from conveying the topic of the lesson, asking students to solve problems in pairs, uniting one pair with another pair into a group and solving problems in the group, then uniting again group one with other groups to solve problems in groups that have been united, the group informs the results of the group to other students, finally the teacher will compare the answers from each group (Siregar, Ardiana, and Rosyidi 2019).

Data collection techniques used to evaluate and validate the data obtained is a matter of description tests, namely by giving questions that are of type description to students who will then be collected back to researchers to retrieve the test data results. The type of data used to process research data is quantitative data.

The data analysis technique used in this study was the T-Test analysis technique, with the research design used was post-only control design (Dongoran, Basri said, and Defitriani 2019). There are several stages before the test instrument is used in conducting research including, 1) arranging the material that will be used in the questions, 2) making the questions grid, 3) compiling questions and their keys and 4) conducting a cobasoaltes test (Maasawet 2010). The instrument was tested on students to find out the validity. reliability. level difficulty. and of distinguishing problems.

Desimal, 3 (1), 2020 - 48

M. Zain Irwanto, Prabu Rohman, Mahfuzh, Ahmad Rozikin, Thanaporn Sriyakul

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on research results obtained from research on the effect of the SAVI and SNOWBALLING models on the numerical

abilities of students, the following is presented a descriptive description of the results of the SAVI and SNOWBALLING learning models on numerical abilities.

Method	Mean	Median	Variance	Std. Deviation	Max	Min	Range
SAVI	86.5333	88	49.085	49.085	72	96	24
SNOWBALLING	80.3667	80	32.861	5.73244	70	90	20

Based on Table 1 it can be seen that the mean value for the SAVI learning model is 86.5333 while SNOWBALLING has an average of 80.3667, for the median the SAVI learning model has a median of 88.0000, the variance value 49.085 the standard deviation value is 7.00607 meaning that if there is an average deviation, the deviation does not more than 7.00607 or - 7.00607, the lowest value for the SAVI model is 72.00, the highest value is 96.00. The SNOWBALLING learning model has a median of 80,0000, the variance value is 32,861, the standard deviation value is 5.73244 means that if there is an average deviation, the deviation does not exceed 5.73244 or -5.73244, the lowest value for the SNOWBALLING model is 70.00, with the highest value being 90.00.

Based on the results of the previous data analysis, the researcher will conduct a T test. Before the T test the researcher will conduct a normality and homogeneity test first as a prerequisite test. Normality test is used to find out whether the data is normally distributed or not, while the

homogeneity test is used to find out whether the data is homogeneous or not. This prerequisite test uses a significance level $\alpha = 0.05$.

H₀ = Data is normally distributed

 H_1 = Data not normally distributed

Conclusion if p value > α so H₀ accepted and rejected H₁, while if p value < α so H₀ rejected and accepted H₁.

Numerical ability normality test results are in Table 2. Based on Table 2, normality test results with a significant level α = 0.05 shows that the application of the SAVI learning model and the SNOWBALLING learning model to numeric abilities shows the p value of the SAVI learning model is 0.128 and the SNOWBALLING learning model is 0.115 for the Shapiro-Wilk output probability. Because the values of p value > α , both data are normally distributed.

Homogeneity test with hypothesis Homogeneous data, $H_0 =$ H1= Not homogeneous data. H₀ accepted if p value $> \alpha$. Homogeneity test results are in Table 3.

Table 2. Test results for numerical ability normality									
Method	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk					
	Statistic	Statistic Df P-value		Statistic	Df	P-Value			
SAVI	.182	30	.112	.921	30	.128			
SNOWBALLING	.142	30	.139	.944	30	.115			

m 11 7		1. C	· 1 1	1 .1.,	1.1.1
Inhia	' I OCT POCU	ite tor nui	morical a	hility norm	111777
	. I COLICOU	its ior nui	iici icai a	υπιέν ποι πα	

Tab	le 3	. Ho	mogeneity	Test	Results	
-----	------	------	-----------	------	---------	--

Numoria ability	Levene Statistic	Df 1	Df 2	P-value	
Numeric admity	80.3667	80	32.861	5.73244	

Desimal, 3 (1), **2020 - 49** M. Zain Irwanto, Prabu Rohman, Mahfuzh, Ahmad Rozikin, Thanaporn Sriyakul

Based on Table 3, the homogeneity test results with a significant level of 0.05 looks p value is 0.125 and greater than the significance level of 0.05, it can be concluded that the two data are homogeneous (Ramadhani, R., Huda, S., & Umam, R. 2019). After the prerequisite tests are normality tests and homogeneity tests are generated that the data is normally distributed and homogeneous, then the researcher will conduct an independent samples test. Independent Samples Test results are found in Table 4. Based on Table 4, the calculated T value (3,731)> T table is 1.72472 then H0 is rejected. So the two models do not have the same average.

In Table 1 the average for the SAVI learning model is 86.5334, while for the SNOWBALLING learning model is 80.3667. It means that there is an average difference between the SAVI learning model and the SNOWABALLING learning model. So there will also be differences in their effect on the numerical ability of students, where the SAVI learning model is superior to the SNOWBALLING learning model. This can be seen from the steps in the two learning models.

Table 4. Test results for numerical ability normality

Numeric	Levene's test for equality of variances			t-test for equality of means				
ability	F	Sig.	Т	df	Sig (2- tailed)	Mean difference	Std. error difference	95% confidence interval of difference
Equal variances assumed	2.425	.125	3.731	58	0	6.16667	1.65273	2.85836 - 9.47497
Equal variances not assumed			3.731	56	0	6.16667	1.65273	2.85560 - 9.47774

In line with studies that have been conducted, the SNOWBALLING learning model can have an impact on students' abilities in problem solving, conceptual understanding (Munifah, M., Romadhona, A. N., Ridhona, I., Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., & Tortop, H. S. 2019), and student learning outcomes (Fallis 2013; Srisusilaningtyas 2018; Putranto, Susatyo, and Siadi 2013; Maasawet 2010). The SAVI learning model has an impact on increasing students' learning and interest learning achievement (Rahmawati, R., Lestari, F., & Umam, R. 2019), problem solving, and student learning outcomes (Yulianti, Haris, and Chandra 2018; Nur Agustina, Yurniwati, and MS 2019; Setyani, Nizardin, and Utami 2019; Sulakasana, Margunaasa, and Authority 2018; Dewi, Murda, and Pudjawan 2019). Different from previous studies, our research has proven that the SAVI and SNOWBALLING learning models

actually have an impact on the numerical ability of students (Munifah, Tsani, I., Yasin, M., Tortop, H. S., Palupi, E. K., & Umam, R. 2019), and the most effective is the SAVI learning model.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

Based on the results of the study concluded that there is an influence of the SAVI learning model and the SNOWBALLING learning model on the numerical abilities of students. However, according to the data that has been obtained, the SAVI learning model is more effective than the SNOWBALLING learning model, because the SAVI learning model encourages the integration of physical movements with intellectual activities that use all the senses so that the numerical abilities of students develop faster.

Desimal, 3 (1), 2020 - 50 M. Zain Irwanto, Prabu Rohman, Mahfuzh, Ahmad Rozikin, Thanaporn Sriyakul

We suggest to future researchers who will continue this research to put more emphasis on the activeness and improvement of the character of students. The use of methods and learning media that are as attractive as possible so that students are more enthusiastic and enthusiastic during the learning process.

REFERENCES

- A. Hamid, S. Afriza, M. AR. 2016. "Pengaruh Kemampuan Numerik Terhadap Hasil Belajar Fisika Siswa Kelas XI SMA Negeri 5 Banda Aceh." Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa 4 (1): 161–63.
- Anwar, Bambang, Munzil Munzil, and Dan Arif Hidayat. 2017. "Pengaruh Collaborative Learning Dengan Teknik Jumping Task Terhadap Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Dan Belajar Siswa." Hasil Jurnal Pembelajaran Sains 1 (2): 15-25.
- Dewi, M R S, I N Murda, and K Pudjawan. 2019. "Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran SAVI (Somatic, Auditori, Visual Dan Intektual) Terhadap Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis IPS Siswa Sekolah Dasar." Mimbar PGSD Undiksha 7 (1): 22–28.
- Dongoran, Sondang, Hasan Basri said, and Eni Defitriani. 2019. "Perbedaan Kemampuan Pemahaman Konsep Matematis Siswa Yang Memperoleh Pembelajaran Model Creative Problem Solving (Cps) Dan Model Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning (Pbl) Di Kelas Vii Smp Negeri 14 Kota Jambi." Journal of **Chemical Information and Modeling 3** (9):1689–99.https://doi.org/10.101 7/CB09781107415324.004.
- Hartono, Bryan Pudji. 2018. "Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Think Pair Share Dengan Metode Snowball Drilling Untuk Meningkatkan Perhatian Siswa." Edumatica : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika 8 (1): 9–16. https://doi.org/10.22437/EDUMATI

CA.V8I01.4636.

- Indrawati, Farah. 2015. "Pengaruh Kemampuan Numerik Dan Cara Belajar Terhadap Prestasi Belajar Matematika." Formatif: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan MIPA 3 (3): 215–23. https://doi.org/10.30998/formatif.v 3i3.126.
- Irawaan, Ari. 2014. "Pengaruh Kecerdasan Numerik Dan Penguasaan Konsep Matematika Terhadap Kemampuan Berpikir Kritik Matematika." Jurnal Formatif 4 (1): 46–55. https://doi.org/10.30998/formatif.v 4i1.138.
- Kuncoro, Beni Bayu, Agustina Sri Purnami, and Kata Kunci. 2018. "Efektivitas Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe Snowball Throwing Terhadap Prestasi Belajar Matematika Siswa Kelas XI IPS MA YAPPI Gubukrubuh GunungKidul." Prosiding Seminar Nasional Etnomatnesia 6 (20): 1013– 18.
- Kurniasari Rahmawati, Nurina. 2017. "Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Matematika Menggunakan Model Savi Dan Vak Pada Materi Himpunan Terhadap Prestassi Belajar Siswa Kelas Vii." Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika 5 (2): 21–24.
- Kurniyanthi, P, K Suarni, and Μ "Pengaruh Gunamantha. 2019. Implementasi Pembelajaran Matematika terhadap Realistik Prestasi Belajar Matematika dengan Pengendalian Numerik pada Siswa Kelas II SD Gugus Kompyang Sujana Denpasar Utara." Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar Indonesia 3 (1): 21–30.
- Lanya, Harfin, and Septi Dariyatul Aini. 2019. "Efektifitas Model Pembelajaran Osborn Dengan Teknik Brainstorming Pada Mata Kuliah Metode Numerik." IndoMath: Indonesia Mathematics Education 2 (1): 9.https://doi.org/10.30738/

Desimal, 3 (1), 2020 - 51

M. Zain Irwanto, Prabu Rohman, Mahfuzh, Ahmad Rozikin, Thanaporn Sriyakul

indomath.v2i1.3247.

- Lestari, Arti, and Irwandi. 2019. "Kemampuan Kognitif Siswa Dengan Menggunakan Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe Snowball Throwing Pada Pembelajaran IPA Di SMP Negeri 23." Prosiding 8 (3): 1–7.
- Maasawet, Elsje Theodora. 2010. "Pengaruh Strategi Pembelajaran Kooperatif Snowballing Pada Sekolah Multietnis Terhadap Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Sains Biologi Siswa Smp Samarinda." BIOEDUKASI (Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi) 1 (1): 2. https://doi.org/10.24127/bioedukas i.v1i1.181.
- Melani, Ade Emelan T, I Made Candiasa, and I Gst Nyoman Yudi Hartawan. 2019. "Pengaruh Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Pair Check Terhadap Kemampuan Numerik Siswa Kelas Vii Smp Negeri 3 Gianyar." Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Undiksha 10 (1):2599–2600.https://doi.org/10.2 3887/jjpm.v10i1.19900.
- Munifah, M., Romadhona, A. N., Ridhona, I., Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., & Tortop, H. S. (2019). How to Manage Numerical Abilities in Algebra Material? Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika, 10(2), 223–232. https://doi.org/10.1017/CB097811 07415324.004
- Munifah, Tsani, I., Yasin, M., Tortop, H. S., Palupi, E. K., & Umam, R. (2019). Management System of Education : Conceptual Similarity (Integration) between Japanese Learning System and Islamic Learning System in Indonesia. Tadris Jurnal Keguruan Dan Ilmu Tarbiyah, 4(2), 159–170. https://doi.org/10.24042/tadris.v4i 2.4893
- Novitasari, Dian. 2017. "Penerapan Pendekatan Somatik, Auditori, Visual, Aktivitas Matematik." Jurnal Program Studi Pendidikan Dan Penelitian

Matematika 6 (1): 33-45.

- Nur Agustina, Fika, Yurniwati, and Zulela MS. 2019. "Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran SAVI (Somatis, Audiotory, Visualization, Intellectual) terhadap Kemampuan Koneksi Matematis Siswa di Kelas V Sekolah Dasar." Dinamika Sekolah Dasar 10 (13): 1–11.
- Putranto, T A, E B Susatyo, and K Siadi. 2013. "Pencapaian Ketuntasan Hasil Belajar Dengan Model Snowballing Pendekatan Contextual Teaching And Learning." Jurnal Unnes 2 (2252): 1– 6.
- Putri, Helda, and Juniman Silalahi. 2018. "Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Collaborative Learning Tipe Jigsaw Terhadap Hasil Belajar Mekanika Teknik Siswa Kelas X Dpib Smk N 1 Koto Xi Tarusan." Cived 5 (4).
- Rahmawati, R., Lestari, F., & Umam, R. (2019). Analysis of the Effectiveness of Learning in the Use of Learning Modules Against Student Learning Outcomes. Desimal: Jurnal Matematika, 2(3), 233–240.
- Ramadhani, R., Huda, S., & Umam, R. (2019). Problem-Based Learning, Its Usability and Critical View as Educational Learning Tools. Journal of Gifted Education and Creativity, 6(3), 219–231.
- Ramadhani, R., Umam, R., Abdurrahman, A., & Syazali, M. (2019). The Effect Of Flipped-Problem Based Learning Model Integrated With LMS-Google Classroom For Senior High School Students. Journal for the Education of Gifted Young, 7(2), 137–158. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1 7478/jegys.548350
- Setyani, Anita, Nizardin, and Rizky Esti Utami. 2019. "Efektivitas Model Pembelajaran Somatic, Auditory, Visual, And Intellectual (SAVI)

Desimal, 3 (1), 2020 - 52

M. Zain Irwanto, Prabu Rohman, Mahfuzh, Ahmad Rozikin, Thanaporn Sriyakul

Berbantu Kartu Soal Terhadap Kemampuan Representasikan Matematis Siswa." Program Study Pendidikan FPMIPATI Universitas PGRI Semarang 20 (4): 127–34.

- Siregar, Rosmaida, Nunik Ardiana, and Januardi Rosyidi. 2019. "Efektivitas Penggunaan Model Pembelajaran Snowball Throwing Dengan Macromedia Flash 8 Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah." JURNAL MathEdu 2 (2): 76–84.
- Srisusilaningtyas, Dijah Rumanti. 2018. "Penerapan Snowballing Untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Siswa Kelas IXF SMPN 9 Blitar Pada Materi Pola Bilangan." Jurnal Pendidikan 2 (4): 326–31.
- Sulakasana, Y. T., I . G. Margunaasa, and I. M. C. Wibawa. 2018. "Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Savi (Somatic, Auditory, Visualization, Intellectual) Dengan Media Hide Dan Seek Puzzle Terhadap Hasil Belajar Ipa." Jurnal Pedagogi Dan Pembelajaran 1 (3): 2614–3895. https://doi.org/10.31 603/edukasi.v10i2.2545.
- Sunilawati, Ni Made, Nyoman Dantes, and I Made Candiasa. 2013. "Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe Hasil STAD Terhadap Belajar Matematika Ditinjau Dari Kemampuan Numerik Siswa Kelas IV SD." E-Journal Program Pascasarjana Pendidikan Universitas Ganesha Iurusan Pendidikan Matematika Dasar 3: 1–9.
- Tanjung, Henra Saputra, and Siti Aminah Nababan. 2018. "Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Matematika Berorientasi Model Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah (PBM) Untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Siswa SMA Se-Kuala Nagan Raya Aceh." Genta Mulia IX (2): 56– 70.

Yulianti, Siska, Venny Haris, and Artha

Nesa Chandra. 2018. "Penerapan Media Video Dalam Model Pembelajaran SAVI (Somatic , Audio , Visual and Intellectual) Terhadap Hasil Belajar Siswa Pada Mata Pelajaran Fisika Kelas X1 IPA Di SMA N 1 Rambatan." Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Matematika Dan Sains, IAIN Batusangkar Keterampilan Abad 21, 70–75.

Zikriah, Zikriah. 2018. "Pengaruh Kecerdasan Numerik Dan Konsep Diri Terhadap Motivasi Berprestasi Matematika." SAP (Susunan Artikel Pendidikan) 3 (1): 54–61. https://doi.org/10.30998/sap.v3i1.2 738.